

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FIL        | ING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/758,685              | 01/11/2001 |                 | Joseph Oreste Carnali | C6578(C)            | 9593             |
| 201                     | 7590       | 12/05/2006      |                       | EXAM                | INER             |
|                         |            | ECTUAL PROP     | MRUK, I               | MRUK, BRIAN P       |                  |
| 700 SYLVA<br>BLDG C2 SO |            | E,              | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| ENGLEWO                 | OD CLIFF   | S, NJ 07632-310 | 1751                  |                     |                  |

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
|   |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment   | 09/758,685<br>Examiner   | CARNALI ET AL.   |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   | Brian P. Mruk  | 1751   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicati  | on appears on the cover sheet with   | h the correspondence address   |
| This application is abandoned in view of:   |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the     (a) A reply was received on (with a Certific period for reply (including a total extension of the content of the c | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated<br>ime of month(s)) which expire          | d on   |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but   |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final in application in condition for allowance; (2) a time Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance visually.   | ely filed Notice of Appeal (with appea<br>vith 37 CFR 1.114).                  | Il fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for   |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not<br>final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111  | constitute a proper reply, or a bona fi<br>. (See explanation in box 7 below). | de attempt at a proper reply, to the non-  |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received.   | •  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (I  | fee and publication fee, if applicable, PTOL-85).                              | within the statutory period of three months  |
| <ul> <li>(a)           The issue fee and publication fee, if application is after the expiration of the state Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>   | ole, was received on (with a (utory period for payment of the issue            | Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice o |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A  | balance of \$ is due.  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_  | The publication fee, if required   | by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$   |
| (c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings Allowability (PTO-37).   | as required by, and within the three-r   | month period set in, the Notice of   |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received or<br>after the expiration of the period for reply.   | n (with a Certificate of Mailing   | or Transmission dated), which is   |
| (b) $\square$ No corrected drawings have been received.   |  |  |
| 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signe the applicants.   | d by the attorney or agent of record, t  | he assignee of the entire interest, or all of  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signe 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.   | d by an attorney or agent (acting in a   | representative capacity under 37 CFR   |
| 6.   The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and seeking court review of the decision has expired a   | Interference rendered on <u>26 Septeml</u><br>nd there are no allowed claims.  | ber 2006 and because the period for  |
| 7. The reason(s) below:   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| ·   |  |  |
|   |  | Brian P. Mruk  |
|   | ·  | •  |
|   |  | Brian P Mruk<br>Primary Examiner   |
| Datition  |  | Art Unit: 1751   |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to minimize any negative effects on patent term.  | withdraw the holding of abandonment un   | der 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to  |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office<br>PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)  | Notice of Abandonment  | Part of Paper No. 20061129   |